UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,526	03/23/2004	Ilker Cengiz	MS307061.1	2509
27195 AMIN. TURO	7590 12/26/2007 CY & CALVIN, LLP	EXAMINER		
24TH FLOOR, NATIONAL CITY CENTER 1900 EAST NINTH STREET			DAYE, CHELCIE L	
CLEVELAND			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2161	
			(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/26/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket1@thepatentattorneys.com hholmes@thepatentattorneys.com osteuball@thepatentattorneys.com

	•	mo				
ion No.	Applicant(s)					
526	CENGIZ ET AL.					
er .	Art Unit					
Daye	2161					
e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress				
TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, HIS COMMUNICATION. vent, however, may a reply be timely filed will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.						
plication to become ABANDONE ommunication, even if timely filed						
07. non-final. t for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is uayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
onsideration.						
are rejected.						
requirement.						
)□ objected to by the B	Examiner.					
be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
red if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ote the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
en received. en received in Application No ents have been received in this National Stage le 17.2(a)). tified copies not received.						

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/806,526	CENGIZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chelcie Daye	2161				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Oc This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. see except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6-14,16,17,19,21-25,27-35 and</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-4,6-14,16-17,19,21-25,27-35, and</u> 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. 37-39 is/are rejected.	cation.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the orange Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the orange replacement drawing sheet (s) including the correction of the orange replacement drawing sheet (s) including the correction of the orange replacement drawing sheet (s) including the correction of the orange replacement drawing sheet (s) including the correction of the orange replacement drawing sheet (s) including the correction of the orange replacement drawing sheet (s) including the correction of the orange replacement drawing sheet (s) including the correction of the orange replacement drawing sheet (s) including the correction of the orange replacement drawing sheet (s) including the correction of the orange replacement drawing sheet (s) including the correction of the orange replacement drawing sheet (s) including the correction of the orange replacement drawing sheet (s) including the correction of the orange replacement drawing sheet (s) including the correction of the orange replacement drawing sheet (s) including the correction of the orange replacement drawing sheet (s) including the orange replacement drawing sheet (s) in	epted or b) objected to by the lidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	•					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number:

10/806,526 Art Unit: 2161

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is issued in response to applicant's RCE filed October 31, 2007.
- 2. Claims 1-35 and 37-39 are presented. No claims added and claims 2,5,15,18,20,26, and 36 are cancelled.
- 3. Claims 1,3-4,6-14,16-17,19,21-25,27-35, and 37-39, are pending.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

4. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 31, 2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1,3-4,6-12,22-25,27-35, and 37-39, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wotring (US Patent No. 6,853,997) filed June 28, 2001,

10/806,526 Art Unit: 2161

in view of Wang (US Patent No. 6,907,433) filed August 1, 2001, and further in view of Ludwig (US Patent No. 6,006,230) filed January 29, 1997.

Regarding Claims 1, 22, and 27, Wotring discloses a computer executable data structure comprising:

a first data structure that describes one or more classes which define programmatic objects (Fig.1, item 100; column 6, lines 34-40, Wotring)¹;

a second data structure that describes members of each class (Fig.1; column 6, lines 39-46, Wotring)²; and

a third data structure that describes relationships between objects (Fig.9; column 46-56, Wotring). However, Wotring is silent with respect to providing information that can be utilized by a computer to persist object data to a database. On the other hand, Wang discloses providing information that can be utilized by a computer to persist object data to a database (column 5, lines 54-61, Wang). Wotring and Wang are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor of mapping objects and relational information. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate Wang's teachings into the Wotring system. A skilled artisan would have been motivated to combine as suggested by Wang at column 1, lines 59-62, in order to allow object to relational mapping without providing back-reference or direct attributes in the target objects. As a result, alleviating the intrusiveness

¹ Examiner Notes: 'Person' corresponds to a class.

10/806,526 Art Unit: 2161

of the object design. Therefore, the combination of Wotring in view of Wang, disclose an object schema being generated and utilized together with a relational schema and a mapping schema to map the programmatic objects to tables in the database (columns 4-5, lines 66-67 and 1-16, respectively, Wang); and

wherein the mapping schema provides the mapping between the object schema and the relational schema (columns 4-5, lines 66-67 and 1-4, respectively, Wang), and the relational schema utilizes metadata associated with the database to generate an implementation specific format that represents the database structure (column 5, lines 17-29, Wang);

wherein members of a class include fields and properties (column 7, lines 33-40, Wotring). However, the combination of Wotring and Wang are silent with respect to an alias attribute to identify a public member that is to be utilized in place of a private member. On the other hand, Ludwig discloses an alias attribute to identify a public member that is to be utilized in place of a private member (columns 9-10, lines 66-67 and 1-4, respectively; column 14, lines 47-64, Ludwig). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate Ludwig's teachings into the Wotring and Wang system. A skilled artisan would have been motivated to combine in order to allow the system to be more diverse and secure.

² Examiner Notes: 'Attributes' correspond to members.

Regarding Claims 3 and 25, the combination of Wotring in view of Wang, and further in view of Ludwig, disclose the data structure wherein a field includes a key attribute that defines whether the field is an object key (column 13, lines 53-58, Wotring).

Regarding Claim 4, the combination of Wotring in view of Wang, and further in view of Ludwig, disclose the data structure wherein the properties include a path attribute that delimits the context of a class (columns 6-7, lines 64-67 and 1-17, respectively, and column 9, lines 50-53, Wotring).

Regarding Claims 6 and 28, the combination of Wotring in view of Wang, and further in view of Ludwig, disclose the data structure wherein the members are compound members comprising members and other compound members (Fig.1; column 6, lines 45-52, Wotring).

Regarding Claims 7 and 29, the combination of Wotring in view of Wang, and further in view of Ludwig, disclose the data structure wherein the compound member is an array (Fig.2; column 7, lines 48-50, Wotring).

Regarding Claim 8, the combination of Wotring in view of Wang, and further in view of Ludwig, disclose the data structure wherein the compound member includes a type attribute that defines the type of data identified by the

Art Unit: 2161

compound member (Fig.4B, item 409; columns 9-10, lines 54-67 and 1-4, respectively, Wotring).

Regarding Claim 9, the combination of Wotring in view of Wang, and further in view of Ludwig, disclose the data structure wherein the third structure includes a type attribute that defines relationships between objects (column 9, lines 14-22, Wotring).

Regarding Claims 10 and 30, the combination of Wotring in view of Wang, and further in view of Ludwig, disclose the data structure wherein the relationship is one of one-to-one, one-to-many, or many-to-many (columns 5-6, lines 62-67 and 1-2, respectively, Wang).

Regarding Claims 11 and 24, the combination of Wotring in view of Wang, and further in view of Ludwig, disclose the data structure wherein the database is a relational database (column 2, lines 63-66, Wotring).

Regarding Claim 12, the combination of Wotring in view of Wang, and . further in view of Ludwig, disclose the data structure wherein the first, second and third data structures are XML structures (column 3, lines 34-34-39, Wotring).

Regarding Claim 23, the combination of Wotring in view of Wang, and further in view of Ludwig, disclose the method wherein the classes represent objects defined by an object-oriented language (column 5, lines 50-53, Wang).

Regarding Claim 31, the combination of Wotring in view of Wang, and further in view of Ludwig, disclose the method wherein specifying class relationships comprise specifying a parent class and a child class (column 5, lines 30-40, Wang).

Regarding Claim 32, the combination of Wotring in view of Wang, and further in view of Ludwig, disclose the method further comprising specifying child members associated with the parent and child classes (column 6, lines 45-48, Wotring).

Regarding Claim 33, the combination of Wotring in view of Wang, and further in view of Ludwig, disclose a computer readable medium having stored thereon computer executable instructions for carrying out the method (column 9, lines 58-67, Wang).

Regarding Claim 34, the combination of Wotring in view of Wang, disclose a method for generating an object schema comprising:

Application/Control Number:

10/806,526 Art Unit: 2161

receiving program code that describes one or more classes which define objects (Fig.1, item 100; column 6, lines 34-40, Wotring);

describing members of each class (Fig.1; column 6, lines 39-46, Wotring); receiving input from a developer (column 2, lines 54-62, Wotring);

generating an object schema to be employed to facilitate mapping object components from an object oriented program to tables in a relational database (column 5, lines 5-16, Wang), wherein the generated object schema is utilized together with a relational schema and a mapping schema to map the programmatic objects to tables in the database (columns 4-5, lines 66-67 and 1-16, respectively, Wang);

wherein the mapping schema provides the mapping between the object schema and the relational schema (columns 4-5, lines 66-67 and 1-4, respectively, Wang), and the relational schema utilizes metadata associated with the database to generate an implementation specific format that represents the database structure (column 5, lines 17-29, Wang);

wherein members of a class include fields and properties (column 7, lines 33-40, Wotring); and

wherein the member properties include an alias attribute to identify a public member that is to be utilized in place of a private member (columns 9-10, lines 66-67 and 1-4, respectively; column 14, lines 47-64, Ludwig).

Art Unit: 2161

Regarding Claim 35, the combination of Wotring in view of Wang, and further in view of Ludwig, disclose the method wherein the developer provides input via a graphical user interface (column 3, lines 7-10, Wotring).

Regarding Claim 37, the combination of Wotring in view of Wang, and further in view of Ludwig, disclose the method wherein the schema is an XML schema (column 3, lines 34-39, Wotring).

Regarding Claim 38, the combination of Wotring in view of Wang, and further in view of Ludwig, disclose the method wherein receiving input from a developer comprises identifying classes to be persisted and specifying relations amongst classes (column 5, lines 54-61, Wang).

Regarding Claim 39, the combination of Wotring in view of Wang, and further in view of Ludwig, disclose a computer readable medium having stored thereon computer executable instructions for carrying out the method (column 9, lines 58-67, Wang).

7. Claims 13-14, 16-17, 19, and 21, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang (US Patent No. 6,907,433) filed August 1, 2001, in view of Bigus (US Patent No. 7,136,843) filed October 23, 2002.

Regarding Claim 13, Wang discloses an object schema generation system comprising:

a code reader component adapted to read or retrieve code from an objectoriented program or set of programs (column 6, lines 23-25 and 41-56, Wang), the program describes objects via classes and class members (column 5, lines 5-16, Wang);

an object schema generation component that retrieves or is provided with code from the code reader component (column 5, lines 54-61 and column 6, lines 17-34, Wang), the object schema generation component produces an object schema in an extensible markup language (XML) which provides metadata concerning objects to facilitate persistence of object data to a data store (column 5, lines 30-40, Wang), such as a relational database (column 4, lines 58-59, Wang), wherein the generated object schema is utilized together with a relational schema and a mapping schema to map object data to tables in the data store (columns 4-5, lines 66-67 and 1-16, respectively, Wang);

wherein the mapping schema provides the mapping between the object schema and the relational schema (columns 4-5, lines 66-67 and 1-4, respectively, Wang), and the relational schema utilizes metadata associated with the data store to generate an implementation specific format that represents the data store structure (column 5, lines 17-29, Wang). However, Wang is silent with respect to the utilization of a rule based artificial intelligence to provide heuristics necessary to build the schema and code provided in real time. On the other

hand, Bigus discloses the utilization of a rule based artificial intelligence to provide heuristics necessary to build the schema (column 4, lines 17-28, Bigus) and code provided in real time (column 2, lines 21-29, Bigus). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate Bigus' teachings into the Wang system. A skilled artisan would have been motivated to combine in order to provide an object-oriented framework, which allows for increased performance as needed by more complex applications.

Regarding Claim 14, Wang in view of Bigus, disclose the system further comprising a data store information component adapted to provide the schema generation component with information concerning the data store (column 5, lines 17-29, Wang).

Regarding Claim 16, Wang in view of Bigus, disclose the system wherein the program is specified in an object-oriented language (column 5, lines 50-53, Wang).

Regarding Claim 17, Wang in view of Bigus, disclose the system wherein the program contains a plurality of object classes and fields (column 5, lines 17-29, Wang).

Regarding Claim 19, Wang in view of Bigus, disclose the system wherein the object schema provides information concerning classes, members of classes, and their relationships (column 5, lines 5-16, Wang).

Regarding Claim 21, the combination of Wang in view of Bigus, disclose the system wherein the object schema generation component employs a Bayesian network to infer proper schema structures and relationships (columns 10-11, lines 61-67 and 1-4, respectively, Bigus).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the newly amended claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Points of Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chelcie Daye whose telephone number is 571-272-3891. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Apu Mofiz can be reached on 571-272-4080. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/806,526 Art Unit: 2161

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chelcie Daye Patent Examiner Technology Center 2100 December 17, 2007

APU MOFIZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE